Reply to Office Action dated March 25, 2010

Docket No.: 55682CON(71432)

REMARKS

In addition to the response filed on February 22, 2010 and references cited therein, Applicant provides herewith pages from the 9th Edition of Remington's (c.1948), cited in the Kloize patent to demonstrate that Kloize would have understood selection of granule size to be based on tablet size. Applicant points to the bottom of the second column of page 294 indicating that mesh size should be selected based on the final tablet size. This chart is substantially identical to those in the 16th Edition (c. 1980) and 21st Edition (c. 2006) of Remington's previously provided. This demonstrates that for a period of over 50 years, the canonical reference in the art teaches that granule size is selected based on final tablet size.

A number of tablet preparation schemes are provided on page 1298 from the 9th Edition of Remington's. Mesh sizes used include #8, #12, #14, and #20. Again, this is similar to the teachings provided by the later versions of Remington's discussed in other responses.

It is believed that there is no fee due with this response. However, if a fee is due with this paper or any other paper filed by this firm in relation to this application, Applicant hereby authorizes the Commissioner to charge Deposit Account No. 04-1105 citing Docket No. 55682CON(71432). Credit of any overpayment is respectfully requested.

Dated: March 25, 2010 Respectfully submitted,

Electronic signature: /Colleen McKiernan/
Colleen J. McKiernan, Ph.D.
Registration No.: 48,570
EDWARDS ANGELL PALMER & DODGE LLP

P.O. Box 55874 Boston, Massachusetts 02205

(617)517-5555

Attorneys/Agents For Applicant

Customer No.: 21874